

Juvenile Justice Commission
of San Diego County

Jails and Lockups 2014 Inspection Report

2013 Yearly Statistics (from Log Books)

0 # **Secure** detentions **OVER** 6 hours

13 # **Secure** detentions **UNDER** 6 hours

0 # **Non-secure** detentions **OVER** 6 hours

Authority: Pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code Section 209(b), a judge of the juvenile court shall conduct an annual inspection, either in person or through a delegated member of the appropriate county or regional juvenile justice commission, of any law enforcement facility that contains a lockup for adults which, in the preceding year, was used for the secure detention of any minor.

Please respond to sections that apply to the facility you are inspecting (type or print clearly)

Facility Name: SDSO – Imperial Beach Station Date of Inspection: 1-6-15
Address: 845 Imperial Beach Blvd. Date of Last Inspection: 12-16-13
Imperial Beach, CA 91932-2796 Phone Number: _____

Facility Manager: Sgt. Anderson Contact Person: Sgt. Mark Anderson
Phone No.: 619-498-2406 Phone No.: 619-498-2406
Staff Assisting: _____ E-mail: _____
Phone No.: _____ Alt. Contact: _____

Presiding Juvenile Court Judge: Hon. Carolyn Caietti
Commission Chair: Kathleen Edwards
Phone No.: 858-634-1555
Inspecting Commissioner: Juvenile Justice Commission

I. GENERAL COMMENTS:

Imperial Beach sheriff's sub-station, which serves the town of Imperial Beach, has one officer assigned as a dedicated School Resource Officer. This officer can also be an arresting officer at the schools. They also have one dedicated detective for juvenile matters.

II. RECOMMENDATIONS (if any):

1. Minors should receive orientation upon entry into facility.
2. Minors may not have visual or auditory contact with adults when in secure detention.
3. Staff needs to be in constant visual and auditory contact with detained minors.

The following questions are asked to determine compliance with Article 14, *Minors in Temporary Custody of a Lockup/Law Enforcement Facility*, of Title 15 of the California Code of Regulations.

III. CONDITIONS OF DETENTION:

- A. Are minors provided with orientation? Yes No
- B. Are they informed of the purpose of detention? Yes No
- C. Are they told the length of time detention is expected to last? Yes No
- D. Are they informed of the six-hour maximum time limit? Yes No

Sgt. said they are not provided with an orientation per se, but they are informed of B-D.

IV. CONDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION (e.g. cell/locked room):

- A. What is the proximity of minors to adult inmates? *If in locked cell, there are only two cells so juvenile could be right next to adult detainee.*
- B. What is the ability and frequency of staff to supervise minor? *Officer is in adjoining room and can hear and almost see cell occupants.*
- C. Is there constant auditory access to staff? Yes No
- D. Are minors provided with a snack if requested? Yes No
- E. Do minors have access to toilets and washing facilities? Yes No
- F. Do minors have access to a drinking fountain or water? Yes No
- G. Are there provisions to provide clothing or blankets to assure comfort? Yes No

V. CONDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION OUTSIDE A LOCKED ENCLOSURE:

(this includes minors who are handcuffed to a fixed object such as a rail, bench, chair or table):

- A. Are minors assured no "contact" with adult inmates? Yes No
- B. Is there constant supervision? Yes No
- C. Is there a 30-minute limit and Watch Commander approval every 30 minutes thereafter? Yes No
- D. Are minors placed in cell when one becomes available? Yes No
- E. Do minors have access to toilet and washing facilities? Yes No
- F. Is there access to a drinking fountain? Yes No

VI. CONDITIONS OF NON-SECURE DETENTION:

- A. Is there direct and constant supervision by staff during the entire custody period? Yes No
- B. Are males and females put in same room? Yes No

Males and females can be placed together in interview room but they are under constant staff supervision.

VII. INTOXICATED MINORS:

- A. Does the facility have written procedures for the handling of minors under the influence of any intoxicating substances? Yes No
- B. Did the facility detain any minors, either secure or non-secure, determined to be under the influence of an intoxicating substance? Yes No

If yes:

1. Was medical clearance obtained? Yes No
2. Were these detentions documented? Yes No
3. If the detention was secure, were there documented safety checks no less than once every 15 minutes? Yes No
4. If the detention was non-secure, was the minor in the constant presence of staff? Yes No
5. Who provides medical clearance for these minors? [Imperial Beach Fire Department EMTs. Their system is to have minor evaluated in field by IB Fire EMTs. If severely intoxicated, Sheriffs will follow ambulance to hospital. If cleared by EMTs, minor can be brought in and released to parent or released to parent in the field.](#)

VIII. DOCUMENTATION:

- A. Are all mandated visual checks documented? Yes No
- B. Are secure/non-secure detention logs used? Yes No
- C. Do the detention logs list the offense and reason which formed the decision to place the minor in secure detention, as well as the length of time the minor was securely detained? Yes No
- D. Does the facility have signage posted explaining the procedures for the handling of secure / non-secure detention of minors? Yes No

IX. ARE THERE INSTANCES IN WHICH A MINOR(S) WAS HELD FOR MORE THAN SIX HOURS? IF YES, LIST THE DATES, STARTING AND ENDING TIME AND CIRCUMSTANCES FOR EACH INSTANCE.

[No](#)

X. Minors Interviewed (Comments):

[None.](#)

[2013 Statistics:](#)

- [Non-secure detentions under 6 hours: 35 \(but reported 2 curfew violations as non-secures in June and 5 non-secures for August were unclear \(1 vs. 2\)\).](#)
- [Non-secure detentions over 6 hours: 0](#)
- [Secure detentions under 6 hours: 13 \(but 1 in July was a curfew violation and 1 of 2 in April unclear\).](#)
- [Secure detentions over 6 hours: 0](#)