Juvenile Justice Commission of San Diego County

Jails and Lockups 2024 Inspection Report

2023 Yearly Statistics (from Logbooks)			
0	# Secure detentions OVER 6 hours		
2	# Secure detentions UNDER 6 hours		

0 # Non-secure detentions OVER 6 ho	urs
-------------------------------------	-----

14 # Non-secure detentions UNDER 6 hours

<u>Authority:</u> Pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code Section 209(b), a judge of the juvenile court shall conduct an annual inspection, either in person or through a delegated member of the appropriate county or regional juvenile justice commission, of any law enforcement facility that contains a lockup for adults which, in the preceding year, was used for the secure detention of any minor.

Please respond to sections that apply to the facility you are inspecting (type or print clearly)

Facility Name: <u>SDCSD – Lakesid</u>	le Substation	Date of Inspection: 10/17/2024		
Address: <u>12365 Parkside Street</u>		Date of Last Inspection: 9/25/2023		
Lakeside, CA 92040		Phone Number: 619-938-1360		
Facility Manager: <u>Lt. Phil Bea</u>	umont	Contact Person: Cpl. Michanna Krzykowski		
Phone No.: 619-938-13	60	Phone No.: 619-938-1395		
Staff Assisting:		E-mail: michanna.krzykowski@sdsheriff.org		
Phone No.:		Alt. Contact:		
Presiding Juvenile Court Judge:	Ana España			
Commission Chair:	Yvette D. Klepin			
Phone No.:	(858) 634-1555			
Inspecting Commissioners:	Yvette D. Klepin (lead)	, Tanya Joyce and Caitlin Radigan		
-				

I. GENERAL COMMENTS:

The inspection team asked questions related to this report, toured the facility, and reviewed secure and non-secured logs for Calendar Year 2023.

Regarding questions asked, the inspection team learned when adult and juveniles are brought to the facility, they enter through two different doors clearly marked as Adult and Juvenile. The doors lead in to separate booking areas where there is sight and sound separation. There are no windows between the two rooms. There are no cells in the juvenile area. The area is small enough that supervision of minors in custody is clearly constant. There is a bench in the room where the minors sit while the officer is completing their report. Since the room is small and has only one bench if a male and female juvenile were to be detained at the same time the room could not accommodate separation. The Corporal made it clear there were other arrangements that could be made.

The facility was clean and well kept. The Corporal was very busy but made time for the inspection team and provided everything needed to make an assessment. The six-hour maximum detention sign in the holding area was small and buried within the larger statutory sign. Right after the inspection the Juvenile Justice Commission support staff emailed a copy a larger sign to the Corporal to post. When asked about procedures used for an intoxicated minor the Corporal

researched a case in question and provided information about medical attention rendered. The report supported the statement that Emergency Medical Services are called to evaluate the minor. The parents are also called so the minor can be released to the parent.

There was one minor mistake made in the logs but it was immediately corrected when it was pointed out. There were 14 non secure and 2 secured detentions. Charges included criminal threat, assault, robbery, conspiracy to commit a crime, drunk in public, vandalism, felony warrant, resist officer, and attempted murder.

a. RECOMMENDATIONS (if any):

There were no recommendations from the previous year. Records are in good order. Practice by the deputies involved in the detention of minors is consistent with policy and procedure. There are not any recommendations this inspection year.

The following questions are used to assess compliance with the state standards governing law enforcement facilities in which minors are held in temporary custody. See Article 9, Minors in Temporary Custody in a Law Enforcement Facility, Title 15 of the California Code of Regulations (15 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 1140–1151)

III.	COI	CONDITIONS OF DETENTION:			
	A.	Are minors provided with orientation?	⊠ Yes □ No		
	B.	Are they informed of the purpose of detention?	⊠ Yes □ No		
	C.	Are they told the length of time detention is expected to last?			
	D.	Are they informed of the six-hour maximum time limit?	⊠ Yes □ No		
IV.	CONDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION (e.g. cell/locked room):				
	A.	A. What is the proximity of minors to adult inmates? They are kept separate in two different room. There are separate entrances for juveniles and adult to ensure they are separate. Total sight and sound separation.			
	В.	What is the ability and frequency of staff to supervise minor? Constant sits in room with deputy.	nt supervision. Minor		
	C.	Is there constant auditory access to staff?	⊠ Yes □ No		
	D.	Are minors provided with a snack if requested?			
	E.	Do minors have access to toilets and washing facilities?			
	F.	Do minors have access to a drinking fountain or water?			
	G.	Are there provisions to provide clothing or blankets to assure comfort?	⊠ Yes □ No		
V.	COI	NDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION OUTSIDE A LOCKED ENCLOS	SURE:		
	(this	includes minors who are handcuffed to a fixed object such as a rail, bench, cl	hair or table):		
	A.	Are minors assured no "contact" with adult inmates?	⊠ Yes □ No		
	B.	Is there constant supervision?			
	C.	Is there a 30-minute limit and Watch Commander approval every 30 minutes thereafter?	⊠ Yes □ No		
	D.	Are minors placed in cell when one becomes available?	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
	E.	Do minors have access to toilet and washing facilities?			
	F.	Is there access to a drinking fountain? Bottled water and juices.	⊠ Yes □ No		
VI.	CONDITIONS OF NON-SECURE DETENTION:				
	A.	Is there direct and constant supervision by staff during the entire custody period?	⊠ Yes □ No		
	B.	Are males and females put in same room? Under constant visual.	⊠ Yes □ No		

VII.	INIC	DRICATED WINORS:		
	A.	Does the facility have written procedures for the handling of minors under the influence of any intoxicating substances?	⊠ Yes	□No
	B.	Did the facility detain any minors, either secure or non-secure, determined to be under the influence of an intoxicating substance?	⊠ Yes	□No
		If yes:		
		1. Was medical clearance obtained?	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Yes	☐ No
		2. Were these detentions documented?	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Yes	☐ No
		If the detention was secure, were there documented safety checks no less than once every 15 minutes? Not secured.	☐ Yes	⊠ No
		4. If the detention was non-secure, was the minor in the constant presence of staff?	⊠ Yes	□No
		Who provides medical clearance for these minors? Emergency Medical Services.		
VIII.		SUMENTATION: Are all mandated visual checks documented?	⊠ Yes	□No
	A.	Are all mandated visual checks documented?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	B.	Are secure/non-secure detention logs used?	Yes	☐ No
	C. Do the detention logs list the offense and reason which formed the decision to place the minor in secure detention, as well as the length of time the minor was securely detained?			□No
	D.	Does the facility have signage posted explaining the procedures for the handling of secure / non-secure detention of minors?	⊠ Yes	□No
IX.	HOU	THERE INSTANCES IN WHICH A MINOR(S) WAS HELD FOR IRS? IF YES, LIST THE DATES, STARTING AND ENDING TIME AIR EACH INSTANCE.		
¥	MINI	ORS INTERVIEWED (Comments):		

No minors were at the facility during the inspection.